

Proposal for a

#### **REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL**

on health technology assessment and amending Directive 2011/24/EU

Flora GIORGIO DG SANTE - Health Systems and Products Medical Products: safety, quality, innovation

Lisbon, 30 November 2018

# Why an HTA initiative?

### More than 20 years of cooperation: projects, joint actions

## ACHIEVEMENTS

- Trust between HTA bodies
- Capacity building
- Development of joint tools (e.g. EUnetHTA Core Model, POP EVIDENT databases)
- Piloting joint work (e.g. early dialogues, joint assessments)

# LIMITATIONS

- ➤ Low uptake of joint work ⇒ duplication of work
- Differences in the procedural framework and administrative capacities of Member States
- Differences in national methodologies
- No sustainability of current cooperation model











Proposal for a

**Article 1** 

PROPOSAL

#### REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on health technology assessment and amending Directive 2011/24/EU

#### The Regulation establishes:

- support framework and procedures for cooperation on health technology assessment at Union level
- common rules for clinical assessment of health technologies

The Regulation **shall** <u>not</u> **affect** the rights and obligations of Member States with regard to the organisation and delivery of health services and medical care and the allocation of resources assigned to them.

#### Member States remain responsible for

- Drawing the overall **conclusions on added value** in the context of their healthcare system
- Taking subsequent decisions on pricing & reimbursement



### PROPOSAL

# Key elements (1)

>Well defined scope









Article 18

# Key elements (2)

# **>Focus on CLINICAL aspects**:

- Joint clinical assessments/JCA (REA)
  Joint scientific consultations/JSC (early dialogues)
  Articles 12-17
- Emerging health technologies/Horizon scanning
- Voluntary cooperation Article 19



### PROPOSAL

Articles

6, 13

25

# **Key elements (3)**

# Member States driven approach

- National agencies to do scientific work
  Articles
  6, 13
  Articles
  - Annual programme decided by the Coordination group 3-4
  - Approval of joint reports by Coordination Group
  - EC to provide secretariat (administrative, technical, IT) Article
  - EC to publish the joint reports/liable

Articles 7, 27



# **Key elements (4)**

> High quality – Member States experts

Art 3,6, 11,12 ...)

PROPOSAL

- > Timely output
  - For medicinal products → by the time of publication of the EC Decision granting marketing authorisation Recitals 17-18

# > Transparency and independence

- Publication of reports
- Conflict of interest procedures
- Procedures for involving stakeholders and additional experts
- Pragmatic phase-in approach

Article 22.1.

Articles 33, 36





# **Key elements (5)**

Enable synergies between regulatory and HTA issues  $\rightarrow$  Secure exchange of Information

**Articles 4**, 6,12,18

- Horizon scanning
- Definition of the WP
- Parallel Joint Scientific Consultation
- $\succ$  Preparation of Joint Clinical assessment  $\rightarrow$  POST CHMP opinion (PHARMA)







MP = medicinal products, MD = medical devices



# **EU INITIATIVE**

# **Key elements (6)**

• Pragmatic approach  $\rightarrow$  phase-in approach





# **Use of Joint Clinical Assessments**

Key principles:

Art 8

- Non-duplication, i.e. not repeat work already done jointly
- **Use** of joint clinical assessment in national HTA process



# **Expected benefits of Commission proposal**

#### Member State decision-makers

- High quality, timely scientific reports (pooling of HTA resources/expertise; better evidence base for HTA across EU)
- ✓ Supports evidence-based decision-making at national level

#### **Patients**

- Improved transparency and engagement in the HTA process for EU patients
- Contribute to improved availability of technologies with true added value for patients across the EU (due to more timely, evidence-based decision-making)

#### **Industry**

- Clearer evidence requirements/predictability
- More efficient evidence generation and submission



## State of play on the HTA proposal at the European Parliament

### Lead committee: ENVI

## > Rapporteur:

Soledad Cabezon Ruiz (S&D, ES, ENVI)

## > Vote:

Plenary adopted amendments on 3 October 2018 and referred back to ENVI (mandate for trialogues)

First reading is not finished yet

#### Assessment of the EP amendments:

EP is largely supportive and mainly remaining consistent with the original objectives of the proposal:

- Suggested a dual legal basis (Article 168(4) TFEU and Article 114 TFEU)
- □ EP maintains the Commission's approach on "use" and non-duplication of Joint Clinical Assessment (Art 8) BUT opens the possibilities to complement the JCA by the MS → FLEXIBILTY
- Adds details on COI, transparency, role of the Coordination Group etc.



# State of play on the HTA proposal at the Council

### > BG Presidency:

3 WP meetings + policy debate in EPSCO

# > AT Presidency:

7 WP meetings – revised presidency text (Articles 1-8) EPSCO 7/12 – progress report (AOB)

### > RO Presidency:

First WP meeting on 8 January 2019, (several meetings planned ) Compromise text from AT Presidency (Art 1→8) In line with EP proposals but more detailed

- Maintain Commission's approach on "use" and "non-duplication" of Joint Clinical Assessment (Art 8) **BUT changes** approach as it defines what MS can add on the JCA – **INCREASE FLEXIBILITY and CERTAINTY** → no consensus among MS
- Strengthen MS driven approach: strengthen role and responsibilities of Coordination Group, reduced role for EC
- □ Reduce IA and DA: more "details" in main act, e.g. quality, independence, COI, transparency, timing → work ongoing



# **Thank you!**

## Contact: SANTE-HTA@ec.europa.eu

#### Joint clinical assessment

Conclusions limited to:

(a) an analysis of the **relative effects** of the health technology being assessed on the patient-relevant **health** outcomes chosen for the assessment

(b) the **degree of certainty** on the relative effects based on the available evidence (end points).

#### NATIONAL APPRAISAL

of joint clinical assessment and additional context-specific considerations (e.g. number of patients affected in MS, how patients are currently treated in the healthcare system, costs) +/- economic, ethical organisational, legal

#### Conclusions on added value

(e.g. added therapeutic value, cost-effectiveness...)

### NATIONAL DECISION MAKING (e.g. P&R)

#### NATIONAL









28



